



reported for tolbutamide ( $pK_a = 5.3$ )<sup>10</sup> compared to glibenclamide ( $pK_a = 6.8$ )<sup>11</sup>. All compounds were characterized by analytical and spectral methods<sup>9</sup>.

**Table I :** Synthesis of 4-substitutedbenzenesulfonylcyanoguanidines

N°	X	Y	Yield (%)	Mp (°C) <sup>a</sup>
5	CH <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	17	131-133
6	CH <sub>3</sub>	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH	72	132-134
7	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	28	108-110
8	CH <sub>3</sub>	cyclohexyl	79	156-158
9	CH <sub>3</sub>	cycloheptyl	78	144-146
10	CH <sub>3</sub>	(±)4-CH <sub>3</sub> cyclohexyl	52	163-165
11	CH <sub>3</sub>	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> N	14	210-212
12	CH <sub>3</sub>	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> N	22	193-195
13	CH <sub>3</sub>	1-azabicyclo[3,2,1]octane	19	186-188
14	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CONHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	cyclohexyl	76	174-176
15	4-CH <sub>3</sub> OC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CONHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	cyclohexyl	26	176-178
16	2-CH <sub>3</sub> O,5-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> CONHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	cyclohexyl	50	92-95
17	2-CH <sub>3</sub> O,5-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> CONHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	(±)4-CH <sub>3</sub> cyclohexyl	64	85-87
18	2-furfurylCONHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	cyclohexyl	55	146-148
19	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NHCONHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	cyclohexyl	37	108-110
20	4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> NHCONHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	cyclohexyl	82	128-130

<sup>a</sup> All compounds were crystallized from ethanol.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1 Thornber, C.W. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1979**, 8, 563-580
- 2 Durant, G.J.; Emmett, J.C.; Gannellin C.R.; Miles, P.D.; Pearsons, M.E.; Prain, H.D.; White G.R. *J. Med. Chem.* **1977**, 20, 901-906
- 3 Manley, Q.W.; Quast, U. *J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, 35, 2327-2340
- 4 Takemoto, T.; Eda, M.; Okada, T.; Sakashita, H.; Matzno, S.; Gohda, M.; Ebisu, H.; Nakamura, M.; Fukaya, C.; Hihara, M.; Eikaku, M.; Yamanoushi, K.; Yokoyama, K. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, 37, 18-25
- 5 Arndt, F.; Martius, C. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1932**, 499, 228-287
- 6 Weber, H.; Aumüller, W.; Muth, K.; Weyer, R.; Heerd, R.; Fauland, E.; Bänder, A.; Pfaff, W.; Schmidt, F.H.; Stork, H. *Drug Research / Arz. Forschung* **1969**, 19, 1326-1346
- 7 Hantzsch, A.; Wolvekamp, M. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1904**, 331, 264-297
- 8 Marchetti P.; Navalesi, R. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* **1989**, 16, 100-128
- 9 The elemental analyses for C,H,N,S are within 0.4% of the theoretical values and were performed on a Carlo Erba 1108 analyzer. All new compounds gave IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra in accordance with their proposed structure. **7**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO) δ 7.65 (2H, d, *J*=8Hz), 7.30 (2H, d, *J*=8Hz), 5.60 (2H, m), 3.15 (2H, br s), 2.30 (3H, s), 1.65-1.25 (4H, m), 0.8 (3H, t). IR (KBr) 2199 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C≡N st). **16**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO) δ 8.18 (1H, m), 7.75 (2H, d), 7.58 (1H, d), 7.4 (3H, dd), 7.05 (1H, d), 4.70 (2H, br s), 3.72 (3H, s), 3.25-3.65 (3H, m), 2.95 (2H, m), 1.80-0.95 (10H, m). IR (KBr) 2188 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C≡N st).
- 10 Häussler, A.; Hajdú, P. *Arch. Pharm.* **1958**, 291, 531-535
- 11 Hajdú, P.; Kohler, K.F.; Schmidt, F.M.; Spingler, H. *Drug Research / Arz. Forschung* **1969**, 19, 1381-1386

(Received in France 27 June 1996; accepted 20 August 1996)